## Math 246C Lecture 13 Notes

Daniel Raban

April 29, 2019

## 1 The Uniformization Theorem

## 1.1 Uniformization, Case 1

Let's finish the proof of the first case of the Uniformization theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Uniformization, Case 1). Let X be a simply connected Riemann surface. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1.  $G_x(y)$  exists for some  $x \in X$ .
- 2.  $G_x(y)$  exists for all  $x \in X$ .
- 3. There exists a holomorphic bijection  $\varphi: X \to \{z: |z| < 1\}$ .

Proof. (1)  $\implies$  (3): Let  $D \subseteq X$  be a parametric disc with  $x \in D$  and z(x) = 0. We saw last time that there is a  $\varphi_D \in \operatorname{Hol}(D)$  such that  $|\varphi_D(y)| = e^{-G_x(y)}$  for all  $y \in D$ . If  $D' \subseteq X$  is a parametric disc such that  $x \notin D$ , then there exists  $\varphi_{D'} \in \operatorname{Hol}(D')$  such that  $|\varphi_{D'}(y)| = e^{-G_x(y)}$  for all  $y \in D'$ :  $G|_{D'}$  is harmonic, so  $G_x = \operatorname{Re}(f_{D'})$  with  $f_{D'}$  holomorphic, and we can take  $\varphi_{D'}(y) = e^{-f_{D'}(y)}$ . On  $D \cap D'$ ,  $\varphi_D/\varphi_{D'}$  is holomorphic with modulus 1. So  $\varphi_D/\varphi_{D'} = e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a path in X with  $\gamma(0) = x$ . Then, by compactness, there is a partition  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$  and parametric discs  $D_j, 1 \le j \le n$ , such that  $\gamma([t_{j-1}, t_j]) \subseteq D_j$ . It follows that  $\varphi_D$  can be continued analytically along all paths in X starting at x. By the monodromy theorem, there is a globally defined holomorphic function  $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(X)$  such that  $|\varphi(y)| = e^{-G_x(y)}$  for all  $y \in X$ .

We claim that  $\varphi$  is injective. We have that  $\varphi(x) = 0$ , and if  $\varphi(y) = \varphi(y) = \varphi(x) = 0$ , then y = x (since  $G_x$  is only infinite at x). Let  $z \in X$  with  $z \neq x$ . Then  $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ . Consider

$$arphi_1(y) = rac{arphi(y) - arphi(z)}{1 - \overline{arphi(z)}arphi(y)}.$$

Then  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Hol}(X)$ , and  $|\varphi_1| < 1$ . Take  $v \in \mathcal{F}_z$ , the Perron family used to construct  $G_z$ . The function  $v(y) + \log |\varphi_1(y)|$  is subharmonic on  $X \setminus \{z\}$ , bounded above, and  $\leq 0$  far away. By the Lindelöf maximum principle,  $v(y) + \log |\varphi_1(y)| \le 0$  on  $X \setminus \{z\}$ . So  $G_z$  exists, and  $G_z(y) + \log |\varphi_1(y)| < 0$ . For y = x, we get

$$G_z(x) \le -\log|\varphi_1(x)| = \log|\varphi(z)| = G_x(z)$$

Switching the roles of x and z, we get<sup>1</sup>

$$G_z(x) = G_x(z).$$

The function  $G_z(y) + \log |\varphi_1(y)| \leq 0$  is subharmonic for  $y \neq z$ , and when y = x, we have

$$G_z(x) + \log |\varphi_1(x)| = G_x(z) + \log |\varphi(z)| = 0.$$

By the maximum principle, we get

$$G_z(y) = -\log |varphi_1(y)|, \quad y \neq z.$$

If  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(z)$ , then  $\varphi_1(w) = 0$ . So  $G_z(w) = \infty$ , which means w = z.

We have that  $\varphi : X \to D = \{|z| < 1\}$  is holomorphic and injective. We do not actually need to prove surjectivity because of the following trick.<sup>2</sup>  $\varphi(X) \subseteq D$  is open and simply connected. By the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a holomorphic bijection  $\psi : \varphi(X) \to D$ . So the map  $\psi \circ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hol}(X)$  works.

**Remark 1.1.** This is sometimes called the hyperbolic case since D admits a hyperbolic metric. So we have shown that every simply connected manifold that carries a Green's function is conformally equivalent to a space with a hyperbolic metric.

## 1.2 Uniformization, Case 2

**Theorem 1.2** (Uniformization, Case 2). Let X be a simply connected Riemann surface for which Green's function does not exist. If X is compact, then there is a holomorphic bijection  $X \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . If X is not compact, there is a holomorphic bijection  $X \to \mathbb{C}$ .

The main idea in the proof is to show the existence of a **dipole Green's function**.

**Example 1.1.** Consider  $\log 1/|z|$  on the Riemann sphere. This has singularities of opposite signs at 0 and  $\infty$ .

**Lemma 1.1** (existence of a dipole Green's function). Let X be a Riemann surface, let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  be distinct, and let  $z_j : D_j \to \{|z| < 1\}$  for j = 1, 2 be parametric discs such that  $z_j(x_j) = 0$ , snd  $\overline{D}_1 \cap \overline{D}_2 = \emptyset$ . Then there is a function  $nG_{x_1,x_2}(y)$  which is harmonic on  $X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$  such that  $G_{x_1,x_2}(y) + \log |z_1(y)|$  is harmonic in  $D_1$  and  $G_{x_1,x_2}(y) - \log |z_2(y)|$  is harmonic in  $D_2$ . Furthermore,

$$\sup_{y \in X \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)} G_{x_1, x_2}(y) < \infty.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This symmetry of the Green's function is actually true in general, but we will not visit that fact now.

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{The}$  map is actually surjective, but it would take some more work to prove.

Assuming this lemma, which we will prove later, we can finish the proof of the Uniformization theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $G_{x_1,x_2}$  a dipole Green's function for  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$ . Arguing as in the proof of Case 1, we see that there is a  $\varphi \in Hol(X, \hat{\mathbb{C}})$  (i.e. a meromorphic function on X) such that

$$|\varphi(y)| = e^{-G_{x_1,x_2}(y)}, \qquad y \in X.$$

Then  $\varphi$  has a unique zero at  $x_1$  at  $x_1$  and a unique simple pole at  $z_2$ .

Assume that  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{C}$  is injective. Then consider  $\varphi(X) \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is simply connected. If  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \varphi(X)$  contains more than a single point, composing with a Möbius transformation which sends the point to  $\infty$ , we get an injective, holomorphic map from Xto a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . By the Riemann mapping theorem, we get a holomorphic bijection to D; however, we assumed no Green's function exists, so we have a contradiction. So we must either have  $\varphi(X) = \mathbb{C}$  (after composing with a Möbius transformation) or  $\varphi(X) = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .  $\Box$ 

Next time, we will show that  $\varphi$  is injective, to complete the proof.